# **Saliva swabs are the preferred sample for Omicron**

# 2 detection

- 3
- 4 Gert Marais<sup>1</sup>, Nei-yuan Hsiao<sup>2</sup>, Arash Iranzadeh<sup>2</sup>, Deelan Doolabh<sup>2</sup>, Annabel Enoch<sup>3</sup>
- 5 Chun-yat Chu<sup>1</sup>, Carolyn Williamson<sup>2</sup>, Adrian Brink<sup>1</sup>, Diana Hardie<sup>2,\*</sup>
- 6
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South
- 8 Africa
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Virology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>3</sup>Green Point Diagnostic Virology Laboratory, National Health Laboratory Service,
- 11 Cape Town, South Africa
- 12
- 13 \*Corresponding author
- 14 Email: diana.hardie@uct.ac.za

15

#### 17 Abstract

18

19 The Omicron variant is characterised by more than 50 distinct mutations, the majority 20 of which are located in the spike protein. The implications of these mutations for 21 disease transmission, tissue tropism and diagnostic testing are still to be determined. 22 We evaluated the relative performance of saliva and mid-turbinate swabs as RT-PCR samples for the Delta and Omicron variants. The positive percent agreement 23 (PPA) of saliva swabs and mid-turbinate swabs to a composite standard was 71% 24 25 (95% CI: 53-84%) and 100% (95% CI: 89-100%), respectively, for the Delta variant. 26 However, for the Omicron variant saliva and mid-turbinate swabs had a 100% (95% 27 CI: 90-100%) and 86% (95% CI: 71-94%) PPA, respectively. This finding supports ex-vivo data of altered tissue tropism from other labs for the Omicron variant. 28 29 Reassessment of the diagnostic testing standard-of-care may be required as the 30 Omicron variant become the dominant variant worldwide.

#### 31 Introduction

32

SARS-CoV-2 variants are characterised by distinct mutations which impact on
disease transmissibility, immune escape, diagnostics and possibly tissue tropism.
Omicron, in particular, has an extraordinary number of mutations, with at least 50
mutations across the genome, 30 of which are located in the spike protein and 15 in
the receptor binding domain.<sup>1</sup> While functional change in terms of receptor binding is
currently to be elucidated, the pattern of viral shedding and resulting impact on
diagnostic sampling methods is currently unknown.

40

#### 41 Methods

42

As part of an on-going study<sup>2</sup> to evaluate the diagnostic performance of different
sample types, we recruited 382 acutely symptomatic, non-hospitalised patients who
presented for SARS-CoV-2 testing between August and December 2021 at the
Groote Schuur Hospital COVID testing centre in Cape Town. Paired mid-turbinate
(MT) and saliva (SA) swabs were collected and tested by RT-PCR (Supplementary
methods).

49

Samples were classified as Omicron or Delta based on whole genome sequencing
data, diagnostic PCR target failures and sampling date (Supplementary
methods).<sup>1,3,4</sup> A composite standard for SARS-CoV-2 infection was used for
comparison of sample types, with infection considered present if SARS-CoV-2 RNA
was detected on either the MT or matched SA swab.

### 56 **Results**

57

58	The positive percent agreement (PPA) of SA swabs and MT swabs to this standard
59	was 71% (95% CI: 53-84%) and 100% (95% CI: 89-100%), respectively, for the
60	Delta variant. This was similar to our previous findings for the Beta variant. <sup>2</sup>
61	However, for the Omicron variant SA and MT swabs had a 100% (95% CI: 90-100%)
62	and 86% (95% CI: 71-94%) PPA, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). The mean
63	RT-PCR cycle threshold differences between MT and SA, using the nucleocapsid
64	gene target as a reference, were 5.2 (SD $\pm$ 5.1, P<0.0001) and 1.5 (SD $\pm$ 5.9,
65	P=0.18) for Delta and Omicron respectively. The median time from symptom onset to
66	positive test for Delta and Omicron assigned cases was 3 days (range: 1-10) and 2
67	days (range: 0-7).
68	

## 69 **Conclusion**

70

These findings suggest that the pattern of viral shedding during the course of infection is altered for Omicron with higher viral shedding in saliva relative to nasal samples resulting in improved diagnostic performance of saliva swabs. This supports the ex-vivo finding of improved viral replication in upper respiratory tract tissue and possibly altered tissue tropism.<sup>5</sup> This is an important finding as the current standard of care for diagnosis using swabs of the nasal or nasopharyngeal mucosa may be suboptimal for the Omicron variant.

78

- **References**

82	1.	Viana R, Moyo S, Amoako DG, et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-				
83	CoV-	2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. medRxiv 2021:2021.12.19.21268028.				
84	2.	Chu CY, Marais G, Opperman C, et al. Performance of saliva and mid-				
85	turbinate swabs for detection of the beta variant in South Africa. The Lancet					
86	Infectious Diseases 2021.					
87	3.	Valley-Omar Z, Marais G, Iranzadeh A, et al. Reduced amplification efficiency				
88	of the RNA-dependent-RNA-polymerase (RdRp) target enables tracking of the Delta					
89	SARS-CoV-2 variant using routine diagnostic tests. medRxiv					
90	2021:2021.10.01.21264408.					
91	4.	Scott L, Hsiao N-y, Moyo S, et al. Track Omicron's spread with molecular				
92	data.	Science Commentary 2021:eabn4543.				
93	5.	HKUMed finds Omicron SARS-CoV-2 can infect faster and better than Delta				
94	in human bronchus but with less severe infection in lung. 2021. (Accessed 16					
95	December 2021, at https://www.med.hku.hk/en/news/press/20211215-omicron-sars-					
96	<u>cov-2</u>	±				
97	infect	ion?utm_medium=social&utm_source=twitter&utm_campaign=press_release.)				
98						

## 100 Acknowledgements

101

102	This research was fun	led in whole, or	in part, by Wellcome	[203135/Z16/Z].	For the
-----	-----------------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------	---------

- 103 purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to
- 104 any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

105

- 106 Testing was conducted at the Groote Schuur Hospital and Green Point National
- 107 Health Laboratory Service diagnostic virology laboratories.

108

## 109 Ethics statement

- 110
- 111 This research has been approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research

112 Ethics Committee (Ref: 420/2020).

113

114 **Conflict of interests** 

115

116 The authors declare no conflict of interest

### 117 Figures

#### 118



120 Figure 1. The cycle threshold (Ct) or cycle number (CN) values for paired mid-121 turbinate (MT) and saliva (SA) swabs are shown for Delta and Omicron variant 122 positive samples. Paired samples were tested on the same diagnostic platform on 123 the same day and samples where only the MT or SA swab was positive were 124 excluded from the analysis. The nucleocapsid (N) gene Ct value was used for analysis if the sample was tested with the Allplex<sup>™</sup> 2019-nCoV assay (Seegene, 125 126 South Korea). This was because the Delta and Omicron variants are not associated 127 with N gene target failure and other assays used also target the N gene. Statistical

- 128 analysis consisted of paired t-tests performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.3.0
- 129 for macOS, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, <u>www.graphpad.com</u>.
- 130 The bar represents the mean Ct value with error bars showing 1 standard deviation.
- 131 ns: not significant. \*\*\*\*: P value < 0.0001.
- 132

### Supplementary methods 133 134 Swab collection 135 136 137 Swabs were self-collected by the study participants under supervision of a 138 healthcare worker. 139 140 Participants should not have had any food, drink, tobacco or gum in the 30 minutes preceding saliva swab collection. Participants were initially instructed to cough 3-5 141 times, covering their mouths with the inner elbow. They were then asked to swab on 142 143 the inside of both cheeks, above and below the tongue, on the gums and hard 144 palate. A minimum swabbing duration of 30 seconds was required. The swab was 145 transported in a sealed container to the laboratory without any transport media. 146 Mid-turbinate swabs were collected by a healthcare worker. The swab was inserted 147 148 2-3 cm into each nostril and transported in a sealed container to the laboratory 149 without any transport media. 150 On arrival in the laboratory, all swabs were placed in 2 ml Sarstedt containers with 151 152 1.5 ml of sterile autoclaved 0.9% saline in preparation for downstream RT-PCR testing. 153 154

155 **RT-PCR** 

157 Swabs were tested by the Groote Schuur Hospital National Health Laboratory 158 Service (NHLS) diagnostic virology laboratory in Cape Town, South Africa. The 159 assays in used by this laboratory during the study period were the Allplex<sup>™</sup> 2019-160 nCoV assay (Seegene, South Korea) (n=343), the Abbott RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay (Abbott Laboratories, USA) (n=7) and the Abbott Alinity m SARS-CoV-2 assay 161 162 (Abbott Laboratories, USA) (n=32). The assay used was based on laboratory 163 operational requirements and no study-specific considerations or requirements were 164 in place. The Abbott assay were run as per kit package inserts and subject to the 165 operational requirements of a South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) accredited diagnostic virology laboratory. The Seegene assay was run with an in-166 167 house developed laboratory-specific sample processing technique which was subject 168 to a validation as per SANAS requirements. Paired samples were in all cases tested 169 using the same RT-PCR platform.

170

Selected samples (n=31) that tested positive primarily were assessed for Spike gene
target failure using the TaqPath COVID-19 CE-IVD RT-PCR Kit (Thermo Fisher
Scientific, USA) at the Green Point NHLS diagnostic virology laboratory.

174

#### 175 Variant classification

176

A confirmed classification as Delta or Omicron was based on whole genome sequencing as previously described.<sup>1</sup> A probable assignment was based on variantspecific RT-PCR gene target failure profiles noted during diagnostic testing<sup>3,4</sup> and a possible assignment was based on the local dominant circulating variant at the time of sample collection.<sup>1</sup> RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp) gene target failure 182 (R-GTF) was considered present if the RdRp Ct value was >3.5 cycles greater than the Envelope (E) gene Ct value. In cases where the RdRp gene was not detected, 183 R-GTF was considered present if the E gene had a Ct value of <30. Spike (S) gene 184 185 target failure was considered present if all assay SARS-CoV-2 gene targets other than S were detected. The Delta variant was dominant in Cape Town prior to the 19th 186 187 of November 2021 and the Omicron variant subsequently (Supplementary Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> For the purposes of positive percent agreement, negative percent agreement, 188 189 positive predictive value and negative predictive value calculation a Delta or Omicron 190 possible, probable or confirmed classification was accepted.

191

## 193 Supplementary figures

194



Supplementary Figure 1. (A) Table showing the positive and negative percent
agreement and positive and negative predictive values for mid-turbinate and saliva
swabs with 95% confidence intervals shown. Confidence intervals were calculated
using the Wilson-Brown method using GraphPad Prism version 9.3.0 for macOS,

200 GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com. For the Delta 201 variant, 277 samples tested negative, for 22 samples both the saliva (SA) and mid-202 turbinate (MT) swab tested positive and for 9 samples only the MT swab tested 203 positive. No samples tested SA swab positive only. For the Omicron variant, 38 204 samples tested negative, for 31 samples both the SA and MT swab tested positive 205 and for 5 samples only the SA swab tested positive. No samples tested MT swab 206 positive only. (B) The proportions of SARS-CoV-2 lineage assignments by listed 207 criteria for samples testing positive are shown. 36 samples were classified as 208 Omicron, 75% as probable due to S gene target failure during diagnostic testing, 209 17% as possible due to the dominant circulating variant at the time of sample 210 collection and 8% as confirmed by whole genome sequencing. Similarly, 31 samples 211 were classified as Delta, 74% as probable due to RdRp gene target failure during 212 diagnostic testing, 23% as possible due to the dominant circulating variant at the 213 time of sample collection and 3% as confirmed by whole genome sequencing. (C) 214 The longitudinal proportion of Pangolin lineages for samples originating in the 215 Western Cape, South Africa.